



Council

Town Hall
Wallasey

1 April, 2016

Dear Councillor

This supplement for the extraordinary Council meeting to be held at **6.00 pm on Monday, 4 April 2016** in the Council Chamber, within the Town Hall, Wallasey, should be read in conjunction with the Council Summons dated 23 March, 2016.

Contact Officer: Andrew Mossop
Tel: 0151 691 8501
e-mail: andrewmossop@wirral.gov.uk
Website: <http://www.wirral.gov.uk>

AGENDA SUPPLEMENT

3. NOTICE OF MOTION - GIRTRELL COURT (Pages 1 – 2)

The Council is requested to consider amendments to the Notice of Motion submitted in accordance with Standing Order 12(1) and (9).

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "John Doherty".

Head of Legal and Member Services

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 3b

Amendments to Notice of Motion - Girtrell Court

The Council is requested to consider the following amendments, submitted in accordance with Standing Order 12(1) and (9)

Amendment (1)

Proposed by Councillor Chris Jones
Seconded by Councillor Phil Davies

Delete paragraphs 3 and 4. Insert the following new paragraphs:

- (3) Council notes that Girtrell Court has been debated on two separate occasions by Council in the past month.
- (4) Council notes that there are no material changes to the position since the issue was last debated by Council on the 14th March. The Director of Adult Social Services is considering the outcome of the statutory one-to-one consultations with service users and their families. An event will take place during April where alternatives models of respite care, which have been requested, will be showcased. Following this event, the Director, in conjunction with the Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care and Health, will consider arrangements for re-providing respite care. Once again, we reiterate that we are not imposing any deadlines.
- (5) Council reiterates that this issue is primarily about increasing choice and extending the reach of respite care. At present, the Council cannot meet demand from service users and their families for greater choice in the type of respite care provided because the entire budget for this service is tied up in one model. The aim is that any alternatives will be equal to or better than existing provision. Council reiterates that no one who currently receives respite care will have this withdrawn.
- (6) Council recognises that pending the outcome of the process described above, no decision will be made about the future of Girtrell Court. Bookings will continue to be taken until the end of August to enable carers to make their holiday arrangements.
- (7) Council refutes the assertion by the Conservatives that in the Wirral Globe online version the Cabinet Member 'announced' that Girtrell Court will close at the end of August. The article contained no such announcement. The headline was the Editor's interpretation of the article.
- (8) Council calls on the Conservative Group to apologise for wasting Council Tax payers' resources by requisitioning an extraordinary Council meeting in a cynical attempt to politicise this issue. Council also once again highlights the hypocrisy of the Conservatives who, when they were in control of the Council in 2010, closed five residential homes providing respite care, which in our opinion followed totally inadequate consultation, in favour of alternative provision in the independent sector.

Amendment (2)

Proposed by Councillor Phil Gilchrist
Seconded by Councillor Alan Brighouse

Insert after paragraph 4...

Council notes that the Director of Adult Social Services has been making arrangements for a 'market event' for the users of Girtrell Court and their carers on 14 April 2016 at the Floral Pavilion. Council understands that this is intended to offer carers an opportunity to meet with potential providers and that it is intended to publish and share consultation findings and outcomes.

Council believes that the consultation findings should be circulated to Members and that, given the extended timescale offered for the continued operation of Girtrell Court, there should be a proper opportunity for the re-provision proposals to be tested in a due process of scrutiny.

Council believes that arrangements for this should be made with the relevant Spokespersons and that this process should hear from representatives of users and carers as to their opinions on the quality and sufficiency of the alternatives that have been developed or offered.